

## II. Waring's Problem

In 1770, E. Waring asserted without proof that every natural number is a sum of at most 4 squares, 9 cubes, 16 biquadrates, and so on.

Waring's Problem: For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \geq 2$ , there exists a number  $s = s(k)$  such that every natural number  $n$  is a sum of at most  $s$   $k^{\text{th}}$  powers of natural numbers, i.e.

$$n = x_1^k + \dots + x_s^k \quad \text{with } x_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \quad (1 \leq i \leq s).$$

Let  $g(k)$  denote the least  $s$  such that the above statement holds. Then Waring's problem states that

$$g(2) = 4, g(3) = 9, g(4) = 19, \dots, g(k) < \infty.$$

In 1770, Lagrange proved  $g(2) = 4$ . By 1909, only known cases are  $k = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10$ . In 1909, by a combinatorial method, Hilbert proved that  $g(k) < \infty$  for every  $k \geq 2$ . By the work of Vinogradov, we now have an almost complete solution to  $g(k)$ .

Consider the integer

$$n = 2^k \left\lfloor \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^k \right\rfloor - 1 < 3^k.$$

The most efficient representation for  $n$  is to use  $\left\lfloor \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^k \right\rfloor - 1$  many  $2^k$  and

$$n = 2^k \left( \left\lfloor \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^k \right\rfloor - 1 \right) + 1^k (2^k - 1).$$

Thus we obtain a result of Euler that

$$g(k) \geq 2^k + \left\lfloor \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^k \right\rfloor - 2.$$

Indeed, the equality holds for all but finitely many  $k$ . The equality holds only if

$$2^k \left\{ \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^k \right\} + \left\lfloor \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^k \right\rfloor \leq 2^k.$$

In 1957, Mahler showed that the above inequality holds for all but finitely many  $k$ .

2015 II 23(3)

In the following, we'll establish  $g(2)=4$ . Observe that as  $x$  runs over  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x^2 \equiv 0, 1, 4 \pmod{8}$ . Since  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ , we see that  $g(2) \geq 4$ .

2015 II 25

63? → Theorem 62: If  $p$  is an odd prime, there exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $1+x^2+y^2 = mp$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $1 \leq m \leq p-1$ .  $\exists m? \forall m?$

Proof: Consider the sets

$$S_1 = \{x^2 + p\mathbb{Z} ; x \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{p-1}{2}\}$$

and

$$S_2 = \{-1-y^2 + p\mathbb{Z} ; y \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } 0 \leq y \leq \frac{p-1}{2}\}.$$

Note that  $x_1^2 \equiv x_2^2 \pmod{p}$  if and only if  $x_1 \equiv \pm x_2 \pmod{p}$ . Since  $0 \leq x \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ , all elements in  $S_1$  are distinct, so are  $S_2$ . Since  $|S_1| = |S_2| = \frac{p-1}{2}$ , we have  $S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Thus there exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \leq x, y \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$  such that

$$x^2 \equiv -1 - y^2 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow 1 + x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus  $1+x^2+y^2 = mp$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Also, we have

$$0 < m < \frac{1+x^2+y^2}{p} \leq \frac{1 + (\frac{p-1}{2})^2 + (\frac{p-1}{2})^2}{p} < p.$$

□

44 → Theorem 63 (Lagrange's Theorem): We have  $g(2)=4$ . In other words, every natural number can be expressed as a sum of four squares.

Proof: We have the Lagrange identity

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2)(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 + y_4^2) \\ &= (x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 + x_4y_4)^2 + (x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 + x_3y_4 - x_4y_3)^2 \\ &\quad + (x_1y_3 - x_2y_1 + x_4y_2 - x_3y_4)^2 + (x_1y_4 - x_3y_1 + x_2y_3 - x_4y_2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We see that the product of two numbers which are representable as a sum of four squares is also representable as a sum of four squares. Thus to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that all primes are representable as a sum of four squares. Note that

$$2 = 1^2 + 1^2 + 0^2 + 0^2.$$

Let  $p$  be an odd prime. By theorem 62, there exist  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = mp$  with  $1 \leq m \leq p-1$ . Let  $m_0$  be the

smallest natural number such that  $m_0 p$  is a sum of four squares. It remains to show that  $m_0 = 1$ . Suppose that  $m_0$  is even. Note that

$$(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} x_i x_j.$$

Since  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = m_0 p$  is even, we see that  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4$  is even. Thus either all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  are even, all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  are odd, or two are even (say  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ ) and two are odd (say  $x_3$  and  $x_4$ ). In all cases,

$$x_1 + x_2, x_1 - x_2, x_3 + x_4, x_3 - x_4$$

are all even. It follows that

$$\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{x_3 + x_4}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{x_3 - x_4}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2}{2} = \frac{m_0 p}{2}.$$

This contradicts the minimality of  $m_0$ . Thus  $m_0$  is odd. Suppose that  $m_0 > 1$ . Since  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = m_0 p$  and  $1 \leq m_0 \leq p-1$ , not all of  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  are divisible by  $m_0$  for otherwise  $m_0^2 \mid m_0 p$  and thus  $M_0 p$ , a contradiction. Thus there exist  $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $y_i = x_i - b_i m_0$  and  $|y_i| < \frac{m_0}{2}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ) and not all of the  $y_i$ 's are zero. Then

$$0 < y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 + y_4^2 < 4 \left(\frac{m_0}{2}\right)^2 = m_0^2$$

and

$$y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 + y_4^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m_0}.$$

Thus there exists  $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $m_1 < m_0$  such that

$$y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 + y_4^2 = m_0 m_1.$$

We recall that

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = m_0 p.$$

Multiply the above two equalities together. By the Lagrange identity, there exist  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 + z_4^2 = m_0^2 m_1 p$$

where  $z_1 = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + x_3 y_3 + x_4 y_4$  and etc. Since

$$z_1 = \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i (x_i - b_i m_0) = \sum_{i=1}^4 x_i^2 + m_0 K$$

for some  $K \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and since  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = M_0 p$ , we have

$$z_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{m_0}.$$

2015 11 25 (2)

Similarly,  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$  are divisible by  $m_0$ . Let  $t_i = \frac{z_i}{m_0}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ). Then

$$t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2 + t_4^2 = m_0 p$$

and  $1 \leq m_0 < m_0$ , which contradicts the minimality of  $m_0$ . Thus  $m_0 = 1$  for all odd primes  $p$ . Thus we see that all primes are representable as a sum of four squares.  $\blacksquare$

2015 11 27

65  $\rightarrow$  Theorem 64: We have  $g(4) \leq 53$ .

Proof: We have the identity

$$6(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2)^2 = (a+b)^4 + (a-b)^4 + (c+d)^4 + (c-d)^4 + (a+c)^4 + (a-c)^4 + (b+d)^4 + (b-d)^4 + (a+d)^4 + (a-d)^4 + (b+c)^4 + (b-c)^4.$$

Combining the above identity with theorem 63, we see that every integer of the form  $6x^2$  can be expressed as a sum of 12 fourth powers. Note that every natural number can be written in the form  $6k+r$  with  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $0 \leq r \leq 5$ . Then by theorem 63, we can write  $k$  as a sum of 4 squares, say  $k = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$ . Then  $6k = 6x_1^2 + 6x_2^2 + 6x_3^2 + 6x_4^2$ . Since each term in the above sum is a sum of 12 fourth powers,  $6k$  can be expressed as a sum of 48 fourth powers. Finally,  $r$  is a sum of  $r$  fourth powers ( $r = 1^4 + \dots + 1^4$ ). Since  $0 \leq r \leq 5$ ,  $6k+r$  is a sum of 53 fourth powers.  $\blacksquare$