

4 Generating Functions

You have 2 (ordinary 6-sided) dice. How many ways to roll a total of 9? Dice are distinguishable. (Imagine one is red, one is blue.)

Mathematically, a dice roll is represented by an element of N_6 .

two dice $\leftrightarrow N_6 \times N_6$.

Determine $\#\{(a,b) \in N_6 \times N_6; a+b=9\}$. To solve this, consider

$$\sum_{(a,b) \in N_6 \times N_6} x^{a+b}$$

We get x^9 every time $a+b=9$. Answer is coefficient of x^9 in this expression.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(a,b) \in N_6 \times N_6} x^{a+b} &= \sum_{a \in N_6} \sum_{b \in N_6} x^a x^b = \left(\sum_{a \in N_6} x^a \right) \left(\sum_{b \in N_6} x^b \right) \\ &= (x+x^2+x^3+x^4+x^5+x^6)(x+x^2+x^3+x^4+x^5+x^6) \\ &= \left(\frac{x-x^7}{1-x} \right) \left(\frac{x-x^7}{1-x} \right) = \left(\frac{x-x^7}{1-x} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

ex A composition of n with k parts is a k -tuple $(c_1, \dots, c_k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})^k$ where $c_1 + \dots + c_k = n$.

How many? Determine $\#\{(c_1, \dots, c_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^k; c_1 + \dots + c_k = n\}$. Consider

$$\sum_{(c_1, \dots, c_k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})^k} x^{c_1 + \dots + c_k}$$

We get a x^n when $c_1 + \dots + c_k = n$. Answer is $[x^n] \left(\frac{x-x^7}{1-x} \right)^k$. This equals

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \dots \sum_{c_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} x^{c_1} \dots x^{c_k} &= \left(\sum_{c_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} x^{c_1} \right) \dots \left(\sum_{c_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} x^{c_k} \right) \\ &= (x+x^2+\dots)^k \\ &= \left(\frac{x}{1-x} \right)^k \end{aligned}$$

From last time, consider

$$\sum_{(c_1, \dots, c_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^k} x^{c_1 + \dots + c_k}$$

- $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^k$ is the set of objects
- $c_1 + \dots + c_k$ is the weight

This problem has two parameters, n and k . But they get treated very differently:

- n is the weight: disappears from the problem for a while, only shows up at the end when we extract $[x^n]$;
- k is part of the set of objects: present throughout the solution.

Makes it hard to get the solution, unless you already know how.

In this course, we consider vector valued weight functions.

MORE UNDERSTANDING

LESS THINKING

Theory: Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$. If $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ is a sequence of (pairwise commuting) indeterminates, we use the notation

$$x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_r^{\alpha_r}$$

$$\text{If } \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r), \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r),$$

$$\alpha + \beta = (\alpha_1 + \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_r + \beta_r),$$

$$x^{\alpha + \beta} = x^\alpha x^\beta.$$

If $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ for all i , we write $\alpha \leq \beta$. Hence x^α divides $x^\beta \Leftrightarrow \alpha \leq \beta$.

Def If S is a set (may or may not be finite) and $\omega: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r$ has the property that $\omega^{-1}(\alpha)$ is finite for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r$, we say ω is a weight function.

Def If S is a set and $\omega: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r$ is a weight function, then the generating function for S with respect to ω is

$$\Phi_S^\omega(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in S} x^{\omega(\sigma)}$$

ex For the set \mathbb{N} , define the weight function $\omega: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $\omega(n) = n$. Then

$$\Phi_{\mathbb{N}}^\omega(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x^{\omega(n)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x^n = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots = \frac{1}{1-x}.$$

Main Principle: one coefficient at a time, no limits.

ex. Again for \mathbb{N} , let $w: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $w(n) = 1$. Then w is not a valid weight function, because $w^{-1}(1) = \mathbb{N}$ is not finite.

ex. Combining the last two, $w: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^2$, $w(n) = (n, 1)$. Then

$$\Phi_{\mathbb{N}}^w(x, y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x^n y^1 = y + xy + x^2y + \dots = \frac{y}{1-x}.$$

ex. Let $S = \mathbb{N}_6^3$ be the set of possible outcomes from rolling three dice. Define $w: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^3$, $(a, b, c) \mapsto (a, b, c)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_S^w(x, y, z) &= \sum_{(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{N}_6^3} x^a y^b z^c \\ &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}_6} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{N}_6} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{N}_6} x^a y^b z^c \\ &= \left(\sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}_6} x^a \right) \left(\sum_{b \in \mathbb{N}_6} y^b \right) \left(\sum_{c \in \mathbb{N}_6} z^c \right) \\ &= D(x)D(y)D(z) \end{aligned}$$

where $D(t) = t + t^2 + t^3 + t^4 + t^5 + t^6$.

Proposition: Let S be a set with weight function $w: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r$. Then

$$\Phi_S^w(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} (\#w^{-1}(\alpha)) x^\alpha.$$

In other words, the coefficient of x^α in the generating function is the answer to the question 'how many elements of S have weight α '.

Proof:
$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_S^w(x) &= \sum_{s \in S} x^{w(s)} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} \sum_{s \in w^{-1}(\alpha)} x^{w(s)} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} \sum_{s \in w^{-1}(\alpha)} x^\alpha \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} x^\alpha \sum_{s \in w^{-1}(\alpha)} 1 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} x^\alpha (\#w^{-1}(\alpha)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

MIT OpenCourseWare
ends here

Think of $\Phi_S^\omega(x)$ as a generalization of cardinality.

generating functions	sets
What is $\Phi_S^\omega(x)$?	What is $\#S$?
weight pres. bij. $\Leftrightarrow \Phi_S^\omega(x) = \Phi_T^\nu(x)$ sum lemma product lemma	$S \cong T \Leftrightarrow \#S = \#T$ $\#(\cup_i S_i) = \sum_i \#S_i$ if $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ $\#S \times T = \#S \#T$
Def) Let S, T be sets with weight functions $w: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r$ and $\nu: T \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r$. A function $f: S \rightarrow T$ is called a <u>weight preserving bijection</u> if:	
① f is a bijection;	
② $w(s) = \nu(f(s)) \quad \forall s \in S$	

Proposition: Let S, T be sets with weight functions $w: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r$ and $\nu: T \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r$. There is a weight preserving bijection $f: S \rightarrow T$ if and only if $\Phi_S^\omega(x) = \Phi_T^\nu(x)$.
Proof: See notes

Sum lemma: Let S be a set with weight function $w: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r$. If $S = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots$ is a decomposition of S as a union of finite or infinitely many pairwise disjoint sets, then

$$\Phi_S^\omega(x) = \sum_i \Phi_{S_i}^\omega(x).$$

Product lemma: Suppose S and T are sets with weight functions $w: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r$ and $\nu: T \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r$. Define a weight function $\varphi: S \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r$ by $\varphi((s, t)) = w(s) + \nu(t)$.

Then

$$\Phi_{S \times T}^\varphi(x) = \Phi_S^\omega(x) \Phi_T^\nu(x).$$

Note: Need to verify that φ is a weight function. (Exercise in chapter 4)

ex. Compositions, again!

Let S be the set of all compositions. Define the weight function $\varphi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^2$ by

$$\varphi((c_1, \dots, c_k)) = (c_1 + \dots + c_k, k).$$

Now

$$S = \coprod_{k \geq 0} (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})^k = (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})^0 \cup (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})^1 \cup \dots$$

Mantra: The weight of a composite object must be the sum of the weights of its components.

Define a weight function of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$

$$\omega: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r,$$
$$\omega(c) = (c, 1).$$

Note that $\omega((c_1, \dots, c_k)) = \omega(c_1) + \dots + \omega(c_k)$. By sum and product lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_S^{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\Phi_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) \right)^k \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(xy + x^2y + x^3y + \dots \right)^k \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\frac{xy}{1-x} \right)^k \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{xy}{1-x}} \end{aligned}$$

So the number of compositions of n with k parts is

$$[x^n y^k] \frac{1}{1 - \frac{xy}{1-x}} = \dots \text{ algebra}$$

Def) Let S be a set with weight function $\omega: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r$. The set of all finite strings on S is ~~the~~ defined as

$$S^* = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} S^k.$$

If $\sigma \in S^*$ then $\sigma \in S^k$ for some unique k , called the length of σ .

Note $S^0 = \{\epsilon\}$ where ϵ is the "empty string" of length 0.

We define

$$\omega^*: S^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r,$$
$$\omega^*((s_1, \dots, s_k)) = \omega(s_1) + \dots + \omega(s_k).$$

Lemma: Let S be a set with weight function $\omega: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r$. Then $\omega^*: S^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^r$ is a weight function if and only if $\omega^*(\epsilon) = \mathbf{0}$

Proof: (\Leftarrow): Suppose there exists an element $z \in w^{-1}(0)$. Consider the elements
 $z_k = (\underbrace{z, \dots, z}_{k \text{ times}}) \in S^*$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$w^*(z_k) = \underbrace{w(z) + \dots + w(z)}_{k \text{ times}} = kw(z) = k \cdot 0 = 0.$$

So $z^k \in w^{*-1}(0)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, $w^{*-1}(0)$ is infinite. Therefore w^* is not a weight function.

(\Rightarrow): If $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ write $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k$.

Suppose $w(s_i) \neq 0 \forall s_i \in S$. Then $|w(s_i)| \geq 1 \forall s_i \in S$.

Suppose $\alpha = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in S^*$. Then

$$|w^*(\alpha)| = w(s_1) + \dots + w(s_k)$$

$$\therefore |w^*(\alpha)| = |w(s_1)| + \dots + |w(s_k)| \geq 1 + \dots + 1 = k$$

That is to say, $|w^*(\alpha)| \geq \text{length}(\alpha)$, for any $\alpha \in S^*$.

Now if $\alpha \in w^{*-1}(\alpha)$ then we must have $w(s_i) \leq \alpha$ for all $i \in [k]$. That is,

$$s_i \in \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} w^{-1}(\beta) \stackrel{?}{=} U_\alpha \leftarrow \text{finite set}$$

This U_α is a finite set because each set $w^{-1}(\beta)$ is finite and there are finitely many $\beta \leq \alpha$. We have shown that every string in $w^{*-1}(\alpha)$ has length at most $|\alpha|$ and each entry of the string belongs to U_α . In other words, every string in $w^{*-1}(\alpha)$ belongs to

$$\{\epsilon\} \cup U_\alpha \cup U_\alpha^2 \cup \dots \cup U_\alpha^{|\alpha|}$$

which is a finite set. Thus $w^{*-1}(\alpha)$ is a subset of a finite set which implies $w^{*-1}(\alpha)$ is a finite set. This proves w^* is a weight function. \square

\rightarrow Theorem [Finite String Lemma]: Let S be a set with weight function $w: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^+$ such that $w^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$. Then $\Phi_{S^*}^{w^*}(x) = (1 - \Phi_S^w(x))^{-1}$

Intuitive idea of above proof:

if $\alpha \in w^{-1}(\alpha)$ then $\text{length}(\alpha)$ can't be too large, ^{and} there are only finitely many things with bounded length

ex Compositions again?

The set of all compositions is $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})^*$

Define weight function $w: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^2$ by
 $w(c) = (c, 1)$.

Then

$$w^*(c_1, \dots, c_k) = (c_1 + \dots + c_k, k)$$

By finite string lemma,

$$\Phi_{(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})^*}^{w^*}(x, y) = \frac{1}{1 - \Phi_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}^w(x, y)} \stackrel{\text{see last time}}{=} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{xy}{1-x}} = \frac{1-x}{1-x-xy}$$

Therefore number of comp. of n with k parts is

$$[x^k y^n] \frac{1-x}{1-x-xy}$$

5 q-binomial Theorem

Recall that $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is the set of all subsets of X .

Binomial Theorem: Let $w: \mathcal{P}(N_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the weight function
 $w(S) = \#S$.

We compute $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}(N_n)}^w(x)$ in two ways.

Method 1: Since

$$[x^k] \Phi_{\mathcal{P}(N_n)}^w(x) = \#w^{-1}(k) = \#\mathcal{B}(n, k) = \binom{n}{k}$$

for all $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$,

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{P}(N_n)}^w(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k$$

Method 2: We have a bijection $f: \mathcal{P}(N_n) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ defined by

$$f(S) = (a_1, \dots, a_n),$$

where

$$a_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in S, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin S. \end{cases}$$

Define a weight function $\eta: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $\eta((a_1, \dots, a_n)) = a_1 + \dots + a_n$. Then f is a weight preserving bijection. If $S \in \mathcal{P}(N_n)$ then $w(S) = \#S$,