

## Loose ends

### 1) Grothendieck group

$R$  a ring

We can make a group  $K_0(R)$  collection of f.g. (left) proj.  $R$ -mod. up to iso.

Let  $A =$  free ab. gr. on all iso classes  $[P]$ ,  $P$  f.g. proj.

We'll impose relations  $[P_1] + [P_2] = [P_3]$  whenever  $\exists$  short exact seq.

$$0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_3 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow k^{n_1} \rightarrow k^{n_2} \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$$

$$[k^{n_1}] = [k^{n_2}] - [k]$$

$$K_0(k) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad [k^n] \mapsto n$$

If  $R$  is comm.  $K_0(R)$  is a ring  $[P] \cdot [Q] = [P \otimes Q]$

$$P \text{ proj.} \rightarrow P \oplus H \cong R^n \quad Q \text{ proj.} \rightarrow Q \oplus E \cong R^m$$

$$R^n \cong R^n \otimes R^m \cong (P \oplus H) \otimes (Q \oplus E) \cong (P \otimes Q) \oplus (H \otimes Q) \oplus (H \otimes E) \oplus (P \otimes E)$$

### Exterior products

$R$ -comm. ring

$M$  is an  $R$ -mod

$$\bigwedge^i M = i^{\text{th}} \text{ ext. prod of } M$$

$$\underbrace{M \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R M}_i / N$$

where  $N$  is the submodule gen by  $m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_i = \text{sgn}(\sigma) m_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes m_{\sigma(i)}$

$$\bigwedge^i R = R \quad \bigwedge^1 M = M, \quad \bigwedge^i R^n \cong R^{\binom{n}{i}}$$

Why?  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  basis for  $R^n$ .  $e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n}$  span

$$= \pm e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n} \quad i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n$$

duplicates are if char  $\neq 2$

So can show  $e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_n} = \pm e_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_1}$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq n$  is a basis

In particular  $\bigwedge^n R^n \cong R$

If  $R$  is a noeth comm ring with  $\text{spec}(R)$  con, then if  $P$  is a proj. module of rank  $r$   $\bigwedge^i P$  is proj. of rank  $\binom{r}{i}$

Now we let  $\text{Pic}(R)$  denote the multiplicative subset of  $K_0(R)$  gen by rank 1 projectives  $[P], [Q] \rightarrow [P \otimes Q]$

$\text{Pic}(R)$  is called the Picard grp of  $R$ . It is a group

$$[P] \otimes [\text{Hom}(P, R)] = [R] \leftarrow \text{identity}$$

We have a map

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(R) &\longrightarrow \text{Pic}(R) \\ [P] &\longmapsto [\wedge^{\text{rank}(P)} P] \end{aligned}$$

This is a homeomorphism of semigroups (under  $\otimes$ )

Final remark

If  $R$  is comm then if  $P \otimes R^n \cong R^{n+1} \Rightarrow P \cong R$

This is an exercise

$$\text{Step 1 } \wedge^j (M \oplus N) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^j \wedge^i (M) \otimes \wedge^{j-i} (N)$$

$$\text{Step 2 } R^{n+1} \cong R^n \otimes P$$

$$R = R^{\binom{n+1}{j}} \cong \wedge^{n+1} R^{n+1} \cong \wedge^{n+1} (R^n \otimes P) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n+1} \wedge^j R^n \otimes \wedge^{n+1-j} P$$

Step 3 Show that  $\because P$  has rank 1,  $\wedge^j P = (0)$  for  $j > 1$  &  $\wedge^{n+1} R^n = (0)$

$$\text{Only non-zero term when } j=n: R \cong \wedge^n R^n \otimes \wedge^1 P \cong R \otimes P = P$$

## Injective Modules

Notion is dual to projectives

$\mathcal{A}$  abelian cat,  $P$  proj. obj:  $\text{Hom}(P, -)$  is exact

$I$  injective obj:  $\text{Hom}(-, I)$  is exact

$$\text{i.e. } 0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \longrightarrow 0 \text{ exact}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(C, I) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(B, I) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(A, I) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ exact} \\ & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi \circ g & & \downarrow \psi \circ f \end{array}$$

So this is equivalent (one can check) to

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \text{ exact} \Rightarrow \text{Hom}(B, I) \xrightarrow{\psi \circ f} \text{Hom}(A, I) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ exact}$$

$$\text{i.e., } \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A \xrightarrow{f} B \\ & & \downarrow h \quad \exists h \\ & & I \end{array}$$

Lemma (Baer): Let  $R$  be a ring & let  $Q$  be a left  $R$ -module. If  $\forall I \subseteq R$  left ideal &  $h: I \rightarrow Q$   $R$ -Mod homo  
 $\exists \tilde{h}: R \rightarrow Q$  st  $\tilde{h}|_I = h$   $R$ -Mod homo, then  $Q$  is injective.

Proof:  $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{f} M$   $f$  inj., WLOG  $f$  is inclusion  
 $\downarrow \beta$   
 $Q$

Consider all pairs  $(N', \beta')$  st  $N \subseteq N' \subseteq M$ ,  $\beta': N' \rightarrow Q$ ,  $\beta'|_N = \beta$ . We can partially order these pairs via

$(N_1, \beta_1) \leq (N_2, \beta_2)$  if  $N_1 \subseteq N_2$ , &  $\beta_2|_{N_1} = \beta_1$ .  
 The set  $\mathcal{S}$  of such pairs is non-empty ( $(N, \beta) \in \mathcal{S}$ )  
 & it is closed under unions of chains

So by Zorn's lemma  $\exists$  a maximal element  $(N', \beta')$  in  $\mathcal{S}$   
 If  $N' = M$  we're done.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \longrightarrow & M \\ \beta \downarrow & & \uparrow \beta' \checkmark \\ Q & & \end{array}$$

So we may assume that  $\exists m \in M \setminus N'$ . Look at  $N'' = Rm + N'$ .

Let  $I = \{r \in R; rm \in N'\}$ , a left ideal.

Make a map  $\theta: I \rightarrow Q$   $\theta(r) = \beta'(rm) \in Q$

By hyp. can extend  $\theta$  to  $\delta: R \rightarrow Q$

Let  $N'' = Rm + N'$ . Define  $\beta'': N'' \rightarrow Q$   $\beta''(rm + n') = \delta(r) + \beta'(n')$

Notice  $\beta''$  is well-defined (check)

By construction,  $\beta''|_{N'} = \beta'$  Contradicting maximality of  $(N', \beta')$ .  $\square$

Corollary: Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ . An  $R$ -module  $M$  is injective  $\iff M$  is divisible

Proof: By A2, Injective  $\implies$  divisible.

Suppose that  $M$  is divisible. Use Baer's criterion

Let  $I \triangleleft \mathbb{Z}$ . So  $I = n\mathbb{Z}$  Some  $n \geq 0$

$I \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  If  $I = (0)$   $\beta = 0$  works

$\beta \downarrow$   $\leftarrow \exists \beta'$  If  $n \neq 0$  let  $m \in M$  be  $\beta(n)$

$\therefore M$  is divisible  $\exists x \in M$  st  $nx = m$ . Define

$\beta': \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M$ ,  $1 \mapsto x$   $\beta'(n) = nx = m = \beta(n) \checkmark$

Cor: If  $M$  is an injective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module &  $K \subseteq M$  then  $M/K$  is injective.

Proof:  $x+K \in M/K$ ,  $x \in M$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n > 0$   $\exists y \in M$  st  $ny = x$   
 so  $n(y+K) = x+K \Rightarrow M/K$  divisible.  $\square$

"Enough Injectives"

An abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough projectives if  $\forall A \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$   $\exists$  a projective obj.  $P$  & an epi  $f: P \twoheadrightarrow A$ . It has enough injectives if  $\forall A \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$   $\exists$  an inj. obj.  $Q$  & a mono  $A \xrightarrow{f} Q$ .  
 We'll see that  $R\text{-Mod}$ ,  $R$  a ring, has enough injectives.

Case I:  $R = \mathbb{Z}$

$\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$  has enough injectives

"Ab

Proof: Let  $A$  be an Abelian group. Then  $\exists \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}} \twoheadrightarrow A$   
 So  $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}}/K$   $K \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}}$  Now  $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{I}}$   
 $K \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{I}}$

So  $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{I}}/K \cong \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{I}}/K$   $\hookrightarrow A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{I}}/K$  which is injective  
 $\uparrow$  is  $A$   $\uparrow$  injective by cor

We'll lift this result to  $R\text{-Mod}$ . Set-up:  $S, R$  rings (ultimately, we'll take  $S = \mathbb{Z}$ )

$F$  is an  $(S, R)$ -bimodule i.e.  $F$  has structure as a left  $S$ -mod & as a right  $R$ -mod

& we'll assume that  $F$  is flat right  $R$ -mod

i.e. If  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$  is an exact sequence of left  $R$ -mod then  
 $0 \rightarrow F \otimes_R M \rightarrow F \otimes_R N$   
 is an exact seq of ab. grps

Aside NC tensor product

$R$  ring  $T$  right  $R$ -Mod,  $L$  left  $R$ -mod  
 $T \otimes_R L$  ab grp  $\text{tr} \otimes = \text{tr} \otimes$

Remark: If  $M$  is a left  $S$ -mod then we define  $\tilde{M} = \text{Hom}_S(F, M)$   
 $\tilde{M}$  is a left  $R$ -mod via  $(r \cdot \varphi)(x) = \varphi(x \cdot r)$   
 $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_S(F, M)$

Injective Production Lemma: under this set-up if  $M$  is an inj. left  $S$ -mod then  $\tilde{M}$  is an inj. left  $R$ -mod.

2016 03 01

Proof: Must show  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \tilde{M})$  is exact.

In fact we know it is enough to show that if  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$  is exact  
 $A, B \in \text{Ob}(R\text{-Mod})$  then  $\text{Hom}_R(B, \tilde{M}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, \tilde{M}) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.  
 $\psi \longmapsto \psi \circ f$

So let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$  be exact. Must show  
 $\text{Hom}_R(B, \text{Hom}_S(F, M)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, \text{Hom}_S(F, M)) \rightarrow 0$   
 is exact.  
 $\psi \longmapsto \psi \circ f$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(B, \text{Hom}_S(F, M)) &\cong \text{Hom}_S(F \otimes_R B, M) \\ \psi: B &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(F, M) \\ b &\longmapsto \psi(b): F \rightarrow M \\ F \otimes_R B &\rightarrow M \\ 0 \otimes b &\longmapsto \psi(b)(0) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise: We have a map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_S(F \otimes_R B, M) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_S(F \otimes_R A, M) \\ \psi: F \otimes_R B &\rightarrow M \longmapsto \psi(0 \otimes a) = \psi(0 \otimes f(a)) \end{aligned}$$

& the isomorphisms give a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_R(B, \text{Hom}_S(F, M)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(A, \text{Hom}_S(F, M)) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \text{Hom}_S(F \otimes_R B, M) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S(F \otimes_R A, M) \end{array}$$

So it suffices to show  $\text{Hom}_S(F \otimes_R B, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(F \otimes_R A, M) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

Since  $M$  is an injective<sup>①</sup> left module &  $F$  is flat<sup>②</sup> as a right  $R$ -mod

We know

1)  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$  exact in  $R$ -mod

2)  $0 \rightarrow F \otimes_R A \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes f} F \otimes_R B$  is exact in  $S$ -Mod

3)  $\text{Hom}_S(F \otimes_R B, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(F \otimes_R A, M) \rightarrow 0$  is exact  $\psi \mapsto \psi^{\uparrow}$

The result follows from (\*) i.e.  $\tilde{M}$  is an injective left  $R$ -mod. 22

"Sometimes it's good to get your hands dirty.  
 What does it mean to get your hands dirty? Talk."

For us we'll take  $S = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $M = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \leftarrow$  injective  $S$ -module  
 $F =$  free right  $R$ -module (free  $\Rightarrow$  flat)

Define in this set-up if  $F$  is a right  $R$ -module  $F^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$   
 is the Pontryagin dual  $F^*$  is a left  $R$ -mod

Remark: If  $A$  is a left  $\mathbb{Z}$  or right  $R$ -mod **THEN**  
 $A \hookrightarrow A^{**}$   $m \mapsto e_m: A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$   
 $e_m(f) = f(m)$

Why is  $m \mapsto e_m$  an injection?

Suppose  $\exists m \in A \setminus \{0\}$  st  $e_m = 0 \Rightarrow f(m) = 0 \forall f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$

Let  $C = \mathbb{Z}m \subseteq A$

Claim:  $\exists$  nat. trans.  $g: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$

Easy: possibilities  $C \cong \mathbb{Z} \sim C \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \sim$

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow A$$

$$\downarrow \swarrow \begin{matrix} g \\ \text{injective} \end{matrix}$$

So the claim follows

Cor:  $R$  ring,  $R\text{-Mod}$  has enough injectives

Proof: 1) If  $A$  is a right  $R$ -mod then  $\exists$  a free right  $R$ -mod  $F$  st  $F \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} A$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is injective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -mod &  $A, F$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -mod,  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is exact.  
 ie  $A^* \hookrightarrow F^*$   $\uparrow$  flat  $\uparrow$  injective

By 1PL this is an injective left  $R$ -mod

So, we see, 2) any left  $R$ -mod of the form  $A^*$ ,  $A$  a right  $R$ -module, embeds in an injective

3) Now let  $A$  be a left  $R$ -Module  $A \hookrightarrow A^{**} \hookrightarrow F^*$  injective

$(A^*)^*$  embeds in an injective left  $R$ -mod by 2)  $\Rightarrow A \hookrightarrow$  injective  $\square$

Nice fact: Any  $R$ -mod  $A$  has a unique minimal injective resolution

Def: Let  $R$  be a ring.  $M \subseteq E$  be a left  $R$ -mod.

We say that  $M$  is an essential submodule of  $E$  (or  $E$  is an essential extension of  $M$ ) if  $M \cap N \neq (0) \forall (0) \neq N \subseteq E$

unfortunately we're both in the past... or present?  
 oh we're running out of time too.

- Prop: 1) Given a ring  $R$   $M \subseteq F$  modules  $\exists$  a max<sup>l</sup> sub. mod.  
 $M \subseteq E \subseteq F$  with  $M \subseteq E$  essential (A2)  
 2) If  $F$  is injective then  $E$  is injective (A2)  
 3)  $\exists$  up to iso. a unique essential ext.  $E$  of  $M$  that is an inj.  
 $R$ -mod.  $E =$  injective envelope of  $M$  write  $E(M)$  for this

Idea after 3:  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E(M) \rightarrow E(Q_1) \rightarrow E(Q_2)$   
 $Q_1 = \text{coker}(M \rightarrow E(M))$   
 $Q_2 = \text{coker}(E(M) \rightarrow E(Q_1))$

2016 0303

Proof: 1), 2) on A2

3) First, we know since  $R$ -Mod has enough injectives,  $\exists F$  injective  $M \hookrightarrow F$   
 WLOG  $M \subseteq F$ . By 1) & 2)  $\exists E$  essential  $M \subseteq E \subseteq F$ ,  $E$  inj, so  
 we at least have existence

To see uniqueness, suppose we have  $M \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} E_i$ ,  $E_1, E_2$  inj. & essential ext. of  $M$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \rightarrow M & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & E_1 \\ & \searrow \alpha_2 & \swarrow \beta \\ & & E_2 \end{array} \quad \beta \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \text{ so } \ker(\beta|_{\alpha_1(M)}) = (0)$$

$\therefore \alpha_2$  is 1-1

Claim:  $\ker(\beta) = (0)$ , i.e.  $\beta$  is 1-1

Why?  $\alpha_1(M) \subseteq E_1$  essential  $\alpha_1(M) \cap \ker(\beta) = (0)$  : since  $\alpha_1(M) \subseteq E_1$  is ess.  
 $\Rightarrow \ker(\beta) = (0)$

So  $E_1 \xrightarrow{\beta} E_2$  is 1-1  $\Rightarrow \beta(E_1) \subseteq E_2$  inj.  $\Rightarrow \exists E_2'$  st  $\beta(E_1) \oplus E_2' = E_2$

Now  $\alpha_2(M) = \beta \circ \alpha_1(M) \subseteq \beta(E_1)$  &  $\alpha_2(M) \subseteq E_2$  is ess. So if  $E_2' \neq (0)$   
 then  $\alpha_2(M) \cap E_2' \neq (0)$  so  $\beta(E_1) \cap E_2' \neq (0) \nexists$  So  $E_2' = (0)$

So  $\beta(E_1) = E_2 \Rightarrow \beta$  is 1-1 & onto  $\rightarrow E_1 \cong E_2$ .

Remark: If  $\{I_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a set of injective mod then  $\prod_{j \in J} I_j$  is inj.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \rightarrow M & \rightarrow & N \\ & \downarrow \pi I_j & \swarrow \\ & & I_j \end{array}$$

Remark: A direct sum of inj. need not be injective

Thm [Bass]. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Then  $R$  is noetherian  $\Leftrightarrow$  every direct sum of injectives is again injective.

Proof (Sketch).  $R$  not Noetherian  $I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq I_3 \subsetneq \dots$

Let  $E_n = E(R/I_n)$ . Then  $E = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  is not inj.

Why? Let  $I = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \in R$ . Let  $f_n: I \hookrightarrow R \rightarrow R/I_n \hookrightarrow E(R/I_n)$

This gives us a map  $f: I \rightarrow \prod E(R/I_n) \quad x \mapsto (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots)$

Key observation:  $f$  actually maps into  $\bigoplus E(R/I_n)$

Why?  $x \in I \Rightarrow x \in I_n \forall n \gg 0 \Rightarrow f_n(x) = 0 \forall n \gg 0$

Now if  $E$  is injective, then  $\exists \beta: R \rightarrow E$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I \longrightarrow R \\ & & \downarrow f \\ & & E \hookrightarrow E \end{array}$$

In st  $\beta(I) \subseteq E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus \dots \oplus E_m \oplus (0) \oplus (0) \oplus \dots$

$= \beta(I) = \beta(I) \subseteq E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_m \oplus (0) \oplus \dots$

Pick  $x \in I_{m+1} \setminus I_m \Rightarrow f_{m+1}(x) \in E_{m+1} \neq (0)$   $\neq$

Other direction: Exercise:  $M$  f.g.  $\Rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, \bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_R(M, N_i)$

Idea if  $R$  is noeth  $J \subseteq R$  ideal then  $J$  f.g.

If the  $N_i$  are inj  $\text{Hom}(J, N_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R, N_i)$  is onto,  $\forall i$

So  $\text{Hom}(J, \bigoplus N_i) \cong \bigoplus \text{Hom}(J, N_i)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}(R, \bigoplus N_i) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \bigoplus \text{Hom}(R, N_i) \end{array}$$

Now Baer's crit gives  $\bigoplus N_i$  is inj.  $\square$

Bass' thm is very useful when studying injectives over a Noetherian ring. An injective mod  $E$  is decomposable if  $E = E' \oplus E''$ ,  $E', E''$  nonzero; otherwise it is indecomposable.

For a comm noetherian ring  $R$  every inj.  $R$ -mod  $E$  is of the form  $E \cong \bigoplus_{j \in J} E_j$ ,  $E_j$  inj.  $\&$  indec.

Moreover  $\exists$  a bij  $\text{Spec}(R) \longleftrightarrow$  isom. classes of indec. inj.  
 $\mathfrak{p} \longmapsto E(R/\mathfrak{p})$

Why? If  $E$  is indec.  $\&$  inj. Pick  $x \in E$  with maximal annihilator  $\text{Ann}(x) = \{r \in R; rx = 0\}$ . Usual trick  $\Rightarrow \text{Ann}(x) = \mathfrak{p}$  So

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R/\mathfrak{p} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & R_x \hookrightarrow E(R/\mathfrak{p}) \\ & & \downarrow \cong \\ & & E \end{array}$$